

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

GARNISHI NOTICE NO. 1192 OF 1999

IN
SUM. SUIT NO. 1218 OF 1975

Govind N. Mehta

... Plaintiff

Vs.

R.M. Art Productions

... Defendants

And

Ms. Kaushalya Ratan Mohan and Ors.

Applicants

Ms. Namita Hemrajani for Plaintiff.

Respondent No. 3 present.

**CORAM : A.M. KHANWILKAR,J.
DATED : JUNE 08, 2005**

P.C.

. Respondent No. 3 has appeared in person. He submits that he has no sufficient means to engage services of advocate to espouse his cause. As the legal issues will arise for consideration, in my opinion, it will be appropriate to offer legal assistance to Respondent No. 3. Respondent No. 3 has no objection if the court recommends advocate to espouse his cause from the panel of advocates maintained by the office as amicus curie. Accordingly office is directed to appoint Advocate to espouse the cause of the respondent No. 3 within one week from today. Reply if any, to be

filed by Respondent No. 3 within three weeks from
 today. Rejoinder within one week thereafter.
 Matter to be placed on board on 6th July, 2005.
 Parties to exchange pleadings well in advance. The
 applicant/Plaintiff to serve afresh respondent No.
 1 and 2 intimating the next date of hearing and
 also to intimate them that the pleadings will have
 to be exchanged well in advance between the
 parties.

(A.M. KHANWILKAR,J.)